

Please complete the following information

Recording Requested By:

SWRCB Telemetry Research Unit

When Recorded, Mail To:

Christian Fehrenbacher
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

2026-E0060

Recorded at the request of:
SWRCB TELEMTRY RESEARCH UNIT
08/20/2026 02:12 PM
Fee: \$50.00 Pgs: 1 of 24

OFFICIAL RECORDS
Katrina Bartolome - Clerk-Recorder
Mendocino County, CA



The space above is reserved for Recorder's Use Only

Notice of Exemption - Russian River Telemetry Study

Title of Document

This page is added to provide adequate space for recording information (Gov. Code §27361.6)

POSTED FROM 8/20/2020 9/21/20

Notice of Exemption

Appendix E

To: Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 113
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

From: (Public Agency): SWRCB Telemetry Research Unit
1001 I Street

Sacramento, CA 95814

County Clerk

County of: Mendocino

501 Low Gap Road

Mendocino, CA 95482

(Address)

Project Title: Russian River Telemetry Study

Project Applicant: State Water Resources Control Board Telemetry Research Unit

Project Location - Specific:

Multiple locations throughout the Russian River watershed; see Figure 1 in attached Study Description.

Project Location - City: Various, see above & Figure 1 Project Location - County: Sonoma, Mendocino

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:

The Study is proposed to modernize the SWRCB Division of Water Rights' water measurement and reporting by evaluating the use of telemetry in reporting gage data. It will install up to 125 telemetered gage stations and telemetry gateways at sites throughout the Russian River watershed. Additional information is provided in the attached Study Description.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: State Water Resources Control Board Telemetry Research Unit

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: State Water Resources Control Board Telemetry Research Unit

Exempt Status: (check one):

- ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);
- ☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));
- ☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); Classes 1, 2, 6 (Secs. 15301, 15302, 15306);
- ☒ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Class 33 (Sec. 15333)
- ☒ Statutory Exemptions. State code number: 20180.56 (statutory exemption for restoration projects/SERP)

Reasons why project is exempt:

Please see attached Study Description for discussion.

Lead Agency

Contact Person: Christian Fehrenbacher

Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 916.323.3646

If filed by applicant:

1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.

2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Signature: Christian S. Fehrenbacher Date: 07/30/2026 Title: Water Resource Control Engineer

☒ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.
Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

Date Received for filing at OPR: _____

Russian River Telemetry Study

Study Description

Introduction

This document briefly describes the Russian River Telemetry Study (Study, RRT Study), proposed by the Telemetry Research Unit, an arm of the State Water Resources Control Board's (Water Board's) Division of Water Rights. It contains the following information.

- Background on the purpose and intent of the Study
- Study goals and objectives
- An overview of the activities proposed under the Study
- A summary of the regulatory requirements applicable to the Study and the approach taken to address them, including both CEQA compliance and resource agency permitting
- Information on the avoidance and minimization measures (AMMs) incorporated into the Study to streamline environmental reviews and compliance while ensuring resource protection
- A description of the process used to screen stations and determine how AMMs should be applied
- A list of references cited in the text

Please note that this PDF file is bookmarked for easier navigation.

Background

As public records, Division of Water Rights (WR) water monitoring data are expected to meet "FAIR" data principles, meaning that they satisfy the following standards, which are aimed at increasing data accessibility and usability (GO FAIR *n.d.*).

- Findable by both humans and computers
- Accessible using a standardized communications protocol
- Interoperable, meaning that they can be integrated with other data and can be interoperated for analysis, storage, and processing
- Reusable

WR currently faces multiple challenges on this front. These include a lack of data controls, measurement files that are largely unstandardized, limited availability of GIS-based data, and reporting that can be delayed as much as 18 months following data collection. The Telemetry Research Unit (hereafter, TRU) is charged with evaluating the potential utility of telemetry in addressing these issues. This includes

- studying water monitoring and data reporting in order to characterize logistical, cost, technical, and informational barriers to the use of telemetry
- conducting field studies to evaluate real-world conditions related to telemetry equipment and telemetered data transmission
- recommending data collection and data governance updates to simplify data reporting and improve the quality and usability of monitoring data

The Study is a 3-year initiative that will use sites within the Russian River watershed as a case study for the evaluation of telemetry. The Study is non-regulatory and non-enforcement in nature and is designed to learn from on-the-ground conditions and community participation.

Study Goals & Objectives

The overarching goal of the Study is to modernize WR's water measurement and reporting processes while improving the availability and usability of water resources data.


Specific objectives include the following.

- (1) Installing telemetered water monitoring equipment at up to 125 sites within the Russian River watershed
- (2) Evaluating the cost and effectiveness of monitoring and transmission equipment
- (3) Refining the technical tools WR uses to assess water diversions and flows
- (4) Testing the logistics of connecting data streams from diverse equipment to a single data system; assessing data accuracy
- (5) Advising the Water Board on simplifying reporting, supporting monitoring efforts, and potential regulation updates.

Study Overview

As identified above, the Study will entail installation of up to 125 telemetered water resource gaging stations at instream, storage (pond/reservoir), diversion, and well sites throughout the Russian River watershed in both Sonoma and Mendocino Counties (Figure 1). It will also include installation of telemetry "gateways" to amplify transmission of telemetered data. Telemetry will transmit monitoring data to a centralized water data management platform, where QA/QC will be performed.

Figures 2 and 3 show typical sensors and telemetry units at instream installations on prior similar projects; note that while the sensor itself is within the water body, the telemetry unit is placed in uplands outside the riparian corridor. Figure 4 shows an alternate approach to instream monitoring, where components are mounted to an existing bridge. Figures 5 and 6, respectively, show typical diversion and well station installations.






A. Staff plate and crest gage in stream




B. Staff plates on bank (right side of photo); pressure transducer in conduit secured to stream bed (left side of photo)




C. Pressure transducer and cable conduit on bank



D. Above-grade cable conduit on bank above staff gage



A. Telemetry unit and solar panel mounted on two poles driven into substrate



B. Telemetry unit mounted on two driven poles and stabilized against existing chainlink fence




C. Telemetry unit mounted on poles set in concrete within augered holes, adjacent to existing concrete pad; arrows show extent of new concrete




A. "All-in-one" installation with radar, solar panel, and telemetry unit mounted on side of bridge





B. Radar unit mounted on pole attached to bridge guardrails; telemetry unit on bank, out of photo



C. Radar mounted on pole frame driven into substrate; telemetry unit on bank, out of photo





Station sites for the Study have been identified on a volunteer basis, and include locations on both public and privately owned lands. Landowners enter into an access agreement with the Water Board to enroll in the Study, enabling entry for site reconnaissance, station installation, operations and maintenance (O&M), and, ultimately, decommissioning and removal of any stations the landowners do not wish to retain. Figure 1 shows the station locations that are under contract as of the end of June 2026. Most of the stations are expected to need both gage and telemetry installation, although some may require only the addition of telemetry to existing gaging equipment or the installation of telemetry gateway equipment.

The Study also includes mitigation for the minor long-term impacts (a maximum loss of 133,281 square feet of inwater habitat and 382 square feet of riparian habitat) associated with station installation and operations. By agreement with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), this will be accomplished by funding a Sonoma County Regional Parks (County Parks) effort to remove trash and debris from a headwaters drainage in Mark West Regional Park and Open Space Preserve northeast of Santa Rosa. The channel will then be stabilized using a combination of gully stuffing/brush packing and log check structures to prevent further headcutting. The mitigation site is located on a small headwaters tributary to Mill Creek, which in turn is a tributary of Mark West Creek. Figures 7, 8, and 9 show the location of the mitigation site, its condition as of spring 2026, and the planned mitigation concept, which has been approved by the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). The total extent of the clean-up and restoration area is on the order of 2,000 square feet (0.046 acre).

Regulatory Constraints & Approach

As a discretionary activity undertaken by a state agency, the Study is subject to requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).


Study-related activities within jurisdictional waters and the adjacent riparian corridor were also identified as having the potential to require the following resource agency authorizations.

- Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 404 and/or Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) Section 10 authorization from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps)
- CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC), Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs), or a Waiver of WDRs from the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
- A Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement (LSAA) with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)

In addition, due to the richness and diversity of biological resources in the Russian River watershed, some (minor) potential for take of state- and/or federally listed species and effects on designated critical habitat was also identified as possible, which would require take authorization from CDFW, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and/or the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries).

To streamline and simplify environmental clearances for the study while still providing for full compliance with all applicable regulations, it was identified as desirable to

- qualify station installations for exemption from CEQA environmental review
- avoid aquatic resources permit triggers where possible



Redtail Consulting
Environment & Community

Figure 7. Location of Mitigation Site
Russian River Telemetry Study
SWRCB Telemetry Research Unit



View approximately northeast across uppermost ephemeral portion of channel; meadow in background; redwood tree stabilizing headcut in approximate center of photo



Main body of debris accumulation; note large pieces of concrete in foreground




View approximately northeast, showing drop in channel at headcut and main body of debris accumulation



View downstream over debris accumulation; transition from ephemeral to intermittent channel is beneath debris pile to left of photo center



Truck chassis within debris accumulation



Himalayan blackberry and poison-oak growth within channel




Smaller materials within debris accumulation in channel invert




Silver wattle growth on slope above clean-up site

9A. Longitudinal Profile



Note: Final configuration will depend on field fit to channel geometry following debris removal.

9B. Cross Section, View Upstream



Note: Final configuration will depend on field fit to channel geometry following debris removal.

- avoid take of state- and federally listed species, avoid adverse effects on designated critical habitat, and ensure consistency with other regulations protecting wildlife such as the federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and state Fish and Game Code protections for nesting birds

The approach taken to accomplish this is discussed further in the sections that follow.

CEQA Compliance

Since the Study would entail multiple separate installations at discrete sites, the fundamental question guiding CEQA compliance was whether the Study must be evaluated as a whole, or could be treated on a site-by-site basis without engaging in piecemealing, which is explicitly prohibited by Section 21159.27 of the CEQA statute.

Each of the stations serves a separate purpose (collection of data at a particular, discrete location), and each station has logical termini (the physical limits of the individual installation).¹ Moreover, each station installation is expected to yield useful data that is not duplicated by data from other stations; each station would thus be worth installing as a step toward achievement of Study objectives even if no other stations were to move forward. The same is true in essence of the Study's mitigation component—it is a stand-alone undertaking that had been identified as a priority for watershed benefit prior to discussions between County Parks and TRU (e.g., Sonoma Resource Conservation District 2025), and has logical geographic limits: the boundaries of the trash accumulation and adversely affected stream channel. As such, both the individual stations and the mitigation component should qualify stand-alone projects for CEQA purposes and the Study can properly be viewed as a program comprising multiple small projects. For simplicity and thoroughness, the following discussion takes this approach, addressing station installation/operations first, followed by the mitigation component.²

Gage/Telemetry Stations

Several categorical exemptions were identified as potentially applicable to station installation and operations under the Study. These include.

- Class 1 ("Existing Facilities"), for "operation, repair, maintenance ... or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of existing or former use (*CEQA Guidelines* 15301)
- Class 2 ("Replacement or Reconstruction"), for "[r]eplacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new structure will be located on the same site as the structure replaced and will have substantially the same purpose and capacity as the structure replaced (*CEQA Guidelines* 15302)
- Class 6 ("Information Collection"), for "basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource" (*CEQA Guidelines* 15306)

Simple addition of telemetry to existing gage stations should qualify for Class 1 categorical exemption; any replacements of existing gages with a new telemetered gage should qualify for Class 2 categorical exemption;

¹ The concepts of *separate and independent utility* and *logical termini* are federally defined but are nonetheless useful in assessing whether activities potentially subject to CEQA can be evaluated separately or must be considered as part of a single larger project.

² Note however that even if all installations and the mitigation component were evaluated together, the categorical exemptions found to apply to individual stations and the mitigation component (see *Study Screening Process & Results* below) would still apply, due to the incorporation of AMMs and SSMs and the combination of selective siting and design.

both of these activities would also qualify for Class 6 categorical exemption, as would full installations including both a new gage and new telemetry equipment.

In order to qualify for exemption, work under the Study would need to avoid triggering the exceptions identified in *CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2. Specifically, none of the categorical exemptions may be used if:

- the project may result in damage to scenic resources associated with a state-designated scenic highway (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[d])
- the project would be located on a site that is included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code—that is, a site with known hazardous materials contamination (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[e])
- the project may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[f])
- there is a reasonable possibility that the project would have a significant environmental effect due to unusual circumstances (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[c])
- the cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place over time would be significant (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[b])

Additionally, use of the Class 6 categorical exemption is prohibited for projects with the potential to affect environmental resources "of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies" (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[a]). This is typically interpreted as including designated critical and essential habitat for special-status species, which is an important consideration for the Study because the majority of the Russian River mainstem and many of the tributary drainages within the watershed are within designated critical habitat for one or more species of salmonids, and designated critical habitat for terrestrial species is present elsewhere in the watershed.

The exceptions identified in *Guidelines* 15300.2[d], 15300.2[e], 15300.2[f], 15300.2[c], and 15300.2[a] are being addressed through the adoption of AMMs, discussed further below. The exception for significant cumulative impacts related to successive projects (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[b]) does not apply to installations under the Study, since

- (1) the Study provides for installation, operation, and maintenance of a single station at each site; repeated installations are outside the scope of the Study, and
- (2) the mitigation component, inclusive of monitoring and any needed corrective action, is also a one-time effort that once complete will not need to be repeated

Mitigation

The mitigation component of the Study qualifies for exemption from CEQA review per *CEQA Guidelines* 20180.56 (statutory exemption for restoration projects, or SERP). Specifically, among other benefits, it would contribute to

- habitat restoration, protection, and enhancement in the Mark West Creek watershed, assisting in the recovery of California native fish and wildlife and the habitat upon which they depend, and would
- result in an overall long-term net benefit to climate resiliency, biodiversity, and sensitive species recovery

Concurrence with SERP exemption was issued by CDFW on December 8, 2025 (California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Director's Office 2025).

As a localized clean-up and restoration effort that would rely on bioengineering techniques, the mitigation component would also qualify for categorical exemption per *CEQA Guidelines* 15333 ("Small Habitat Restoration Projects"), since it will be subject to all relevant AMMs adopted for the larger study, which will ensure that none of the exceptions to the use of categorical exemptions (*CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2) are triggered.

Regulatory Permitting

Gage/Telemetry Stations

CDFW Region 1 (Northern Region, including Mendocino County) and Region 3 (Bay-Delta Region, including Sonoma County) both confirmed during early consultation that the extent of disturbance associated with typical station installations does not rise to the level requiring Notification under Fish and Game Code Section 1602 and that no LSAA(s) would be required for installations under the Study (Liebenberg pers. comm., Hansen pers. comm.). CDFW did request that appropriate erosion control be used for ground disturbance with the potential to result in sediment delivery to streams and that concrete should not be used within bed, banks, or channel (Liebenberg pers. comm.). This direction is being addressed through a combination of AMMs and station design.

During early agency consultation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) staff similarly confirmed that posts pounded into the substrate are construed as pilings, which are not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act although there is no such exemption under Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10. Consequently, station installations do not require Corps authorization, as long as

- (1) station installations within Waters of the United States are outside Traditionally Navigable Waters subject to Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10
- (2) there is no excavation or fill below the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM), and
- (3) there are no corollary impacts on adjacent wetlands (Connor pers. comm.[a], pers. comm.[b])

These requirements are also being addressed through AMMs and station design.

The Study was required to obtain a Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements from the RWQCB. The Notice of Applicability for Coverage under the Conditional Waiver of WDRs for Specific Categories of Low-Threat Discharge in the North Coast Region (R1-2022-0031) was received in April 2026 (North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board 2026).

In addition, as identified briefly above, some activities required under the Study were identified as having the potential to affect state- and/or federally listed plant, fish, or species, which would require incidental take permitting from CDFW, USFWS, and/or NOAA Fisheries. There is also some potential for the presence of species that are designated as Fully Protected under the California Fish and Game Code (FGC); take of these species cannot legally be permitted and must therefore be avoided in full. Other potentially relevant regulations include the federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and Migratory Bird Treaty Act, as well as additional sections of the Fish and Game Code, including those that protect nesting birds, their nests, eggs, and young. The Russian River watershed also supports extensive tracts of designated critical habitat for listed species, including both aquatic and upland areas, as well as the potential for presence of numerous plant, fish, and wildlife species protected under multiple regulations. In this context, avoidance and minimization of impacts on

listed species and their habitat became a key focus; this was addressed through the development and adoption of AMMs, discussed in more detail below.

Mitigation

The mitigation component of the Study was included in the Study as authorized by the RWQCB (North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board 2026). In addition, it will require entry into an LSAA with CDFW, and the Notification process is in progress as of this filing. Authorization from the Corps under CWA Section 404 will also be required; this is expected to proceed under Nationwide Permit (NWP) 27 ("Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration, Enhancement, and Establishment Activities"), which is a non-reporting permit with no preconstruction notification required under the most recent (2026) reissuance of the NWPs. The mitigation component is not expected to affect listed species or their habitat, but will be subject to all relevant AMMs adopted for the Study as a whole.

Avoidance & Minimization Measures

The Study has adopted a suite of AMMs (Table 1), each of which focuses on

- ensuring that none of the exceptions to the use of categorical exemptions are triggered
- avoiding a particular permit trigger, such as activities within Traditionally Navigable Waters regulated under Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10
- avoiding a particular impact, such as water quality degradation or damage to riparian vegetation, or
- protecting a specific resource (e.g., nesting birds, individual listed species, or their habitat)

The AMMs are organized into 3 tiers that roughly reflect implementation order, as follows.

- **Tier 1 AMMs** address fundamental issues related to station siting and design; as such they function to guide station selection and screening of stations for station-specific environmental constraints. In some cases, they also dictate follow-up actions where a particular condition exists at a station site. The Tier 1 AMMs are further subdivided as follows
 - **Tier 1A AMMs** are specifically aimed at avoidance of CWA Section 404 and RHA Section 10 permit triggers, consistent with the resource agency guidance discussed above
 - **Tier 1B AMMs** are screening tools that focus on avoiding the exceptions to the use of categorical exemptions from CEQA detailed in *CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2. They also include provisions to enable station installations to avoid triggering the need for incidental take authorization from CDFW, the USFWS, and NOAA Fisheries

To ensure that the AMMs do not become an impedance to the Study schedule, some of the Tier 1B screening AMMs provide for further planning-phase environmental review and, where appropriate, development of Station-Specific Measures (SSMs) to protect particular resources at an individual station or stations. The intent of SSMs is to allow the field crews more flexibility in planning and implementing installations, major operations and maintenance (major O&M), and removals at those locations, while still ensuring robust protection of resources

- **Tier 2 AMMs** are Best Management Practices (BMPs) that apply to all stations. They reduce the potential for impacts on biological and jurisdictional resources and support avoidance of the "unusual

ADOLF I. KUHLMAN RIVER TREATMENT STUDY - Avoidance and Minimization Measures

Page 1 of 100

Page 2 of 100

Page 3 of 100

Page 4 of 100

Page 5 of 100

Page 6 of 100

Page 7 of 100

Page 8 of 100

Page 9 of 100

Page 10 of 100

Page 11 of 100

Page 12 of 100

Page 13 of 100

Page 14 of 100

Page 15 of 100

Page 16 of 100

Page 17 of 100

Page 18 of 100

Page 19 of 100

Page 20 of 100

Page 21 of 100

Page 22 of 100

Page 23 of 100

Page 24 of 100

Page 25 of 100

Page 26 of 100

Page 27 of 100

Page 28 of 100

Page 29 of 100

Page 30 of 100

Page 31 of 100

Page 32 of 100

Page 33 of 100

Page 34 of 100

Page 35 of 100

Page 36 of 100

Page 37 of 100

Page 38 of 100

Page 39 of 100

Page 40 of 100

Page 41 of 100

Page 42 of 100

Page 43 of 100

Page 44 of 100

Page 45 of 100

Page 46 of 100

Page 47 of 100

Page 48 of 100

Page 49 of 100

Page 50 of 100

Page 51 of 100

Page 52 of 100

Page 53 of 100

Page 54 of 100

Page 55 of 100

Page 56 of 100

Page 57 of 100

Page 58 of 100

Page 59 of 100

Page 60 of 100

Page 61 of 100

Page 62 of 100

Page 63 of 100

Page 64 of 100

Page 65 of 100

Page 66 of 100

Page 67 of 100

Page 68 of 100

Page 69 of 100

Page 70 of 100

Page 71 of 100

Page 72 of 100

Page 73 of 100

Page 74 of 100

Page 75 of 100

Page 76 of 100

Page 77 of 100

Page 78 of 100

Page 79 of 100

Page 80 of 100

Page 81 of 100

Page 82 of 100

Page 83 of 100

Page 84 of 100

Page 85 of 100

Page 86 of 100

Page 87 of 100

Page 88 of 100

Page 89 of 100

Page 90 of 100

Page 91 of 100

Page 92 of 100

Page 93 of 100

Page 94 of 100

Page 95 of 100

Page 96 of 100

Page 97 of 100

Page 98 of 100

Page 99 of 100

Page 100 of 100

circumstances" exception identified in *CEQA Guidelines* 15300.2[c] as well as avoidance of take triggers

- **Tier 3 AMMs** are default measures that focus on take avoidance for nesting birds and individual special-status species, informed by current CDFW, USFWS, and NOAA Fisheries guidance and the professional judgment of the Study biology team; in some cases, these are replaced by SSMs tailored to specific station conditions and activities

Study Screening Process & Results

Gage/Telemetry Stations

The team used ArcGIS screening tools to evaluate potential station sites for their location vis-à-vis key constraints called out in the Tier 1 AMMs. The primary screening tool includes the following map layers.

- State scenic highways, based on data from the California Department of Transportation (2025)
- Sites with known hazardous materials contamination, based on data from the Water Board's GeoTracker database (State Water Resources Control Board 2025)
- Biological constraints such as designated critical habitat and documented special-status species occurrences, based on data from the CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB)

A separate screening tool was used for historical/archaeological resources, based on data from a watershed-wide records search at the California Historical Resources Information System's Northwest Information Center. These data are being managed separately because of the need to maintain confidentiality.

The locations of potential station sites were added to the ArcGIS site screening tools, and the following screening actions were taken.

- Sites with documented hazardous materials contamination were planned for avoidance unless it could be shown that contamination is confined to deeper substrate materials or deeper aquifers that would not be affected by the shallow disturbance required for station installation/O&M/removal, in order to ensure that none of the stations would trigger the *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15300.2[e] exception; in practice, no stations were proposed within the documented limits of hazardous materials contamination
- Sites within the viewshed of designated state scenic highways are being avoided if this is possible while still achieving Study objectives. Where it is necessary to locate a station within a state scenic highway viewshed, an additional AMM (AMM-7B, Table 1) governing the specifics of station location and design applies to ensure that degradation of scenic resources is avoided and the *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15300.2[d] exception is not triggered
- If possible while still achieving Study objectives, station installations were planned for location a minimum of 500 feet from the recorded boundary of known archaeological sites; sites for which this is not feasible were subject to site-specific evaluation by a qualified archaeologist who developed site-specific measures to ensure that the *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15300.2[f] exception would not be triggered
- Sites impinging on tribal cultural resources for which a tribe has requested avoidance were planned for avoidance; however, no stations sites supporting such tribal cultural resources (as distinct from archaeological resources) have been identified through consultation to date

- All station sites underwent site-specific biological evaluation to assess risks to special-status species and their habitat and enable application of Tier 3 AMMs or development of SSMS to avoid (1) take of listed species, (2) deleterious effects on designated critical habitat, and (3) unusual circumstances related to impacts on special-status species and special-status species habitat

With this combination of site screening, selective siting and design, other AMMs, and SSMS in place, all stations under contract as of July 2026 have been determined to qualify for categorical exemption from CEQA and to avoid the need for Clean Water Act Section 404 and Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 permitting. If any additional stations are brought under contract, they will be subject to the same screening and other AMM requirements and are expected to qualify in a similar manner. Any stations that are essential to the project and do not qualify for CEQA exemption will be subject to separate CEQA analysis.

Mitigation

As discussed above, the mitigation component of the Study was previously determined to qualify for the *CEQA Guidelines* 21080.56 statutory exemption (California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Director's Office 2025) and would also qualify for Class 33 categorical exemption. It will nonetheless be subject to all applicable AMMs adopted for the Study as a whole.

References Cited

California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Director's Office. 2025. California Environmental Quality Act Statutory Exemption for Restoration Projects Concurrence No. 21080.56-2025-083-R3, Mark West Creek and Wetland Restoration Project, Sonoma County. (December 8.) On file with Sonoma County Regional Parks, Santa Rosa, CA and Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.

California Department of Transportation. 2025. State Scenic Highways online mapping tool. Available: <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/design/lap-landscape-architecture-and-community-livability/lap-liv-i-scenic-highways>. Accessed: October 2025.

Connor, W. (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District). Pers. comm.[a]. Email to Anna Busing (Redtail Consulting), September 22, 2025. On file with Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.

Connor, W. (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District). Pers. comm.[b]. Telephone conversation with Anna Busing (Redtail Consulting), September 20, 2025. Meeting notes on file with Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.

GO FAIR. *n.d.* FAIR Principles. Available: <https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/>. Accessed: October 2025.

Hansen, J. (California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 3). Pers. comm. Email to Anna Busing (Redtail Consulting), September 22, 2025. On file with Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.

Liebenberg, A. (California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Region 1). Pers. comm. Email to Anna Busing (Redtail Consulting), September 22, 2025. On file with Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.

North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2026. Notice of Applicability (NOA) for Coverage under the Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Specific Categories of Low Threat Discharge in the North Coast Region (R1-2022-0031), Russian River Telemetry Study Project, WDID No.

1B26037WNSO, ECM PIN CW-906015. (April 8.) On file with State Water Resources Control Board Telemetry Research Unit, Sacramento, CA and Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.

~~Sonoma Resource Conservation District. 2025. Mark West Upper Watershed Process-Based Restoration Plan 65% Design Report. Santa Rosa, CA. Prepared for Sonoma County Regional Parks, Santa Rosa. On file with Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.~~

Spye Conservation, Inc. 2026. Mitigation Concept. Petaluma, CA. Prepared for O'Connor Environmental, Healdsburg, CA, Balance Hydrologics, Berkeley, CA, Sonoma County Regional Parks, Santa Rosa, CA, and SWRCB Telemetry Research Unit, Sacramento, CA. On file with Redtail Consulting, Fremont, CA.

State Water Resources Control Board. 2025. GeoTracker online database. Available:
<https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/>. Data downloaded: September 2025.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2021. Mark West Springs Quadrangle, California, 7.5-Minute Series. Scale: 1:24,000. Available: <https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/viewer/#15/38.5497/-122.7262>. Downloaded: February 2026.